INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA

First edition
2016-10-15

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

Information technology — Telecommunications and information exchange between systems — Local and metropolitan area networks — Specific requirements —

Part 1BA: Audio video bridging (AVB) systems

Technologies de l'information — Télécommunications et échange d'informations entre systèmes — Réseaux de zones locales et métropolitaines — Exigences spécifiques —

Partie 1BA: Systèmes de pontage audio-vidéo (AVB)

Reference number
ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016(E)

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© IEEE 2011

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from ISO or IEEE at the respective address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc
3 Park Avenue, New York
NY 10016-5997, USA

stds.ipr@ieee.org
www.ieee.org

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of ISO/IEC JTC 1 is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require the use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. ISO/IEEE is not responsible for identifying essential patents or patent claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of patents or patent claims or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance or a Patent Statement and Licensing Declaration Form, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from ISO or the IEEE Standards Association.

ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information Technology*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Telecommunications and information exchange between systems* in cooperation with the Systems and Software Engineering Standards Committee of the IEEE Computer Society, under the Partner Standards Development Organization cooperation agreement between ISO and IEEE.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

IEEE STANDARDS ASSOCIATION

IEEE Standard for
Local and metropolitan area networks—

Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Systems

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

IEEE Computer Society

Sponsored by the
LAN/MAN Standards Committee

IEEE
3 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5997
USA

30 September 2011

IEEE Std 802.1BA™-2011

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

IEEE Std 802.1BA™-2011

IEEE Standard for
Local and metropolitan area networks—

Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Systems

Sponsor

LAN/MAN Standards Committee
of the
IEEE Computer Society

Approved 10 September 2011

IEEE-SA Standards Board

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Abstract: Profiles that select features, options, configurations, defaults, protocols and procedures of bridges, stations and LANs that are necessary to build networks that are capable of transporting time-sensitive audio and/or video data streams are defined in this standard.

Keywords: audio video bridging, AVB, Bridged Local Area Networks, IEEE 802.1BA, LANs, local area networks, MAC Bridges, MANs, metropolitan area networks, time sensitive data streams, Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks, virtual LANs

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2011 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
All rights reserved. Published 30 September 2011. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE and 802 are registered trademarks in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

PDF: ISBN 978-0-7381-6739-8 STD97154
Print: ISBN 978-0-7381-6740-4 STDPD97154

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment and bullying. For more information, visit http://www.ieee.org/web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. The IEEE disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damage, of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance upon this, or any other IEEE Standard document.

The IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained herein, and expressly disclaims any express or implied warranty, including any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a specific purpose, or that the use of the material contained herein is free from patent infringement. IEEE Standards documents are supplied **"AS IS."**

The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE Standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE Standard is subjected to review at least every five years for revision or reaffirmation, or every ten years for stabilization. When a document is more than five years old and has not been reaffirmed, or more than ten years old and has not been stabilized, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard.

In publishing and making this document available, the IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity. Nor is the IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing this, and any other IEEE Standards document, should rely upon the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

Interpretations: Occasionally questions may arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications. When the need for interpretations is brought to the attention of IEEE, the Institute will initiate action to prepare appropriate responses. Since IEEE Standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important to ensure that any interpretation has also received the concurrence of a balance of interests.For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to interpretation requests except in those cases where the matter has previously received formal consideration. A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a formal interpretation of the IEEE.At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position, explanation, or interpretation of the IEEE. Comments for revision of IEEE Standards are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Recommendations to change the status of a stabilized standard should include a rationale as to why a revision or withdrawal is required.

Comments and recommendations on standards, and requests for interpretations should be addressed to:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854
USA

Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual standard for internal or personal use is granted by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 802.1BA-2011, IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Systems.

This standard contains state-of-the-art material. The area covered by this standard is undergoing evolution. Revisions are anticipated within the next few years to clarify existing material, to correct possible errors, and to incorporate new related material. Information on the current revision state of this and other IEEE 802 standards may be obtained from

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854
USA

Notice to users

Laws and regulations

Users of these documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of this standard does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

This document is copyrighted by the IEEE. It is made available for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making this document available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, the IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to this document.

Updating of IEEE documents

Users of IEEE standards should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect. In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit the IEEE Standards Association website at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/standards.jsp, or contact the IEEE at the address listed previously.

For more information about the IEEE Standards Association or the IEEE standards development process, visit the IEEE-SA website at http://standards.ieee.org.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Errata

Errata, if any, for this and all other standards can be accessed at the following URL: http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

Interpretations

Current interpretations can be accessed at the following URL: http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/interps/index.html.

Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this amendment may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this amendment, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this amendment are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Participants

At the time this standard was submitted to the IEEE-SA for approval, the IEEE 802.1 Working Group had the following membership:

Tony Jeffree, *Chair and Editor*
Paul Congdon, *Vice Chair*
Michael Johas Teener, *Chair, AV Bridging Task Group*

Zehavit Alon
Yafan An
Ting Ao
Peter Ashwood-Smith
Christian Boiger
Paul Bottorff
Rudolf Brandner
Craig Carlson
Rodney Cummings
Claudio Desanti
Zhemin Ding
Donald Eastlake, III
Janos Farkas
Donald Fedyk
Norman Finn
Ilango Ganga
Geoffrey Garner
Anoop Ghanwani
Mark Gravel
Eric Gray
Yingjie Gu
Craig Gunther
Stephen Haddock
Hitoshi Hayakawa
Hal Keen
Srikanth Keesara
Yongbum Kim
Philippe Klein
Oliver Kleineberg
Michael Krause
Lin Li
Jeff Lynch
Ben Mack-Crane
David Martin
John Messenger
John Morris
Eric Multanen
David Olsen
Donald Pannell
Glenn Parsons
Mark Pearson
Joseph Pelissier
Rene Raeber
Karen Randall
Josef Roese
Dan Romascanu
Jessy Rouyer
Ali Sajassi
Panagiotis Saltsidis
Michael Seaman
Rakesh Sharma
Kevin Stanton
Robert Sultan
PatriciaThaler
Chait Tumuluri
Maarten Vissers

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this standard. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Thomas Alexander
Mark Anderson
Butch Anton
Lee Armstrong
Hugh Barrass
Robert Boatright
Tomo Bogataj
Nancy Bravin
William Byrd
James Carlo
Juan Carreon
David Chalupsky
Keith Chow
Henrik Christensen
Charles Cook
Rodney Cummings
Fumio Daido
Wael Diab
Patrick Diamond
Russell Dietz
Thomas Dineen
Sourav Dutta
John Egan
C. Fitzgerald
Yukihiro Fujimoto
John Fuller
Geoffrey Garner
Devon Gayle
David Goodall
Randall Groves
Ashwin Gumaste
Craig Gunther
Oliver Hoffmann
David Hunter
Atsushi Ito
Raj Jain
Junghoon Jee
Anthony Jeffree
Michael Johas Teener
Vincent Jones
Shinkyo Kaku
Piotr Karocki
Stuart J. Kerry
Max Kicherer
Yongbum Kim
Jeff Koftinoff
Bruce Kraemer
David Landry
Juan L. Lazaro
Michael Lerer
Shen Loh
Greg Luri
Elvis Maculuba
Arthur Marris
Jonathon Mclendon
Matthew Mora
Michael S. Newman
Charles Ngethe
Nick S. A. Nikjoo
Paul Nikolich
Satoshi Obara
David Olsen
Glenn Parsons
Maximilian Riegel
Robert Robinson
Benjamin Rolfe
Jessy Rouyer
Randall Safier
Peter Saunderson
Bartien Sayogo
Gil Shultz
Kapil Sood
Amjad Soomro
Kevin B. Stanton
Thomas Starai
Adrian Stephens
Walter Struppler
Joseph Tardo
Patricia Thaler
David Thompson
Geoffrey Thompson
Scott Valcourt
Prabodh Varshney
Karl Weber
Oren Yuen
George Zimmerman

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this standard on 10 September 2011, it had the following membership:

Richard H. Hulett, *Chair*
John Kulick, *Vice Chair*
Robert M. Grow, *Past Chair*
Judith Gorman, *Secretary*

Masayuki Ariyoshi
William Bartley
Ted Burse
Clint Chaplin
Wael Diab
Jean-Philippe Faure
Alexander Gelman
Paul Houzé
Jim Hughes
Joseph L. Koepfinger*
David J. Law
Thomas Lee
Hung Ling
Oleg Logvinov
Ted Olsen
Gary Robinson
Jon Walter Rosdahl
Sam Sciacca
Mike Seavey
Curtis Siller
Phil Winston
Howard L. Wolfman
Don Wright

*Member Emeritus

Also included are the following nonvoting IEEE-SA Standards Board liaisons:

Satish Aggarwal, NRC Representative
Richard DeBlasio, DOE Representative
Michael Janezic, NIST Representative

Catherine Berger
IEEE Project Editor

Patricia Gerdon
IEEE Standards Program Manager, Technical Program Development

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Contents

1. Overview 1

1.1 Scope 1
1.2 Purpose 1
1.3 Introduction 1
1.4 Objectives 2

2. Normative references 3

3. Definitions 5

4. Acronyms and abbreviations 6

5. Architecture of AVB networks 7

6. AVB functions 11

6.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet 11
6.2 Flow control 11
6.3 Frame sizes 12
6.4 Detection of AVB domains 12
6.5 Meeting latency targets for SR classes A and B 13
6.6 Variable data rate LANs 16
6.7 Basic support for streams 17
6.8 Minimum Bridge requirements 18
6.9 IEEE 802.1AS time-synchronization event message transmission interval 19
6.10 Effect of hop count on IEEE 802.1AS accuracy 19

7. AVB profiles 20

7.1 Introduction to PCS proformas 20
7.2 Abbreviations and special symbols 21
7.3 Instructions for completing the PCS proforma 21
7.4 Common requirements 23

Annex A (informative) Bibliography 31

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

List of figures

Figure 5-1 An AVB network 7
Figure 5-2 AVB domain boundaries created by non-AVB systems..... 8
Figure 5-3 AVB domain boundaries created by different SR class A priorities 9
Figure 5-4 AVB domain boundaries created by different SR class B priorities..... 10

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

List of tables

Table 6-1 AVB support in LAN technologies 13
Table 6-2 Latency targets for SR classes A and B 14

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—

Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Systems

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This standard is not intended to ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection. Implementers of the standard are responsible for determining appropriate safety, security, environmental, and health practices or regulatory requirements.

This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents." They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

This standard defines profiles that select features, options, configurations, defaults, protocols and procedures of bridges, stations and LANs that are necessary to build networks that are capable of transporting time sensitive audio and/or video data streams.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this standard is to specify defaults and profiles that manufacturers of LAN equipment can use to develop AVB-compatible LAN components, and to enable a person not skilled in networking to build a network, using those components, that does not require configuration to provide working audio and/or video services.

1.3 Introduction

The successful support of time sensitive audio and/or video data streams in a Bridged LAN requires the selection of specific features and options that are specified in a number of different standards, some of which are standards developed in IEEE 802, and others (in particular, those that relate to functionality in OSI layer 3 and above ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994 [B3]) that are developed by other bodies. In this standard, it is the selection of features and options that support OSI layer 1 and 2 LAN functionality that is of interest, in order to specify the requirements for LAN support both in Bridges and the end stations that attach to them.

The standards from which features and options are selected by this standard are as follows:

a) The VLAN Bridge specification in IEEE Std 802.1Q.

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

b) The time synchronization standard, IEEE Std 802.1AS.
c) The MAC and PHY standards specified for the various LAN MAC/PHY technologies, such as IEEE Std 802.3, IEEE Std 802.11, ITU-T G.9960 and ITU-T G.9961 (Powerline), and MoCA.

These features and options are selected by means of the profiles described in Clause 7. These profiles support specific functions within an AVB network, such as the Bridges and LAN technologies used to carry the AV streams, and the end stations that attach to the LAN and that provide the source(s) and the destination(s) of the stream data.

In some cases, there are functions that are needed in order to construct a usable AVB network, but that are not described in any other standard. In those cases, the technical specification is included in Clause 6 of this standard, along with a statement of the conformance requirements associated with the function, so that the function can be referenced by a profile in the same way as functions defined in any other standard.

Clause 5 introduces the architecture for AVB systems and AVB networks, and some of the terminology used in describing them.

1.4 Objectives

The architecture described in Clause 5, the AVB functions specified in Clause 6, and the profiles specified in Clause 7, are intended to meet the following objectives:

a) Describe the components that can be combined to form an AVB network (i.e., a network whose components cooperate and interoperate to allow the transmission of AV streams) and how those components can be combined.
b) Describe some of the consequences and limitations for AVB streaming that result from the incorporation of non-AV capable devices in an AVB network.
c) Define additional functions that are required for AVB operation that are not otherwise documented in contributing standards.
d) Provide guidance in terms of meeting the end-to-end latency requirements for successful AVB operation.
e) Define conformance requirements for AVB systems, in terms of the standards to which conformance is required for the various system components and the optional features of those standards that are required to be implemented. These conformance requirements address the guaranteed delivery, end-to-end latency, and time synchronization requirements for successful AVB operation.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

2. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in the text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

IEEE Std 802®, IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Overview and Architecture.[1, 2]

IEEE Std 802.1Q™, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks.

IEEE Std 802.1Qbb™, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks—Amendment: Priority-based Flow Control.

IEEE Std 802.1AS™, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Timing and Synchronization for Time-Sensitive Applications in Bridged Local Area Networks.

IEEE Std 802.3™, Information technology—Telecommunications and information exchange between systems—Local and metropolitan area networks—Specific requirements—Part 3: Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications.

IEEE Std 802.3az™, Information technology—Telecommunications and information exchange between systems—Local and metropolitan area networks—Specific requirements—Part 3: Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications—Amendment 5: Media Access Control Parameters, Physical Layers, and Management Parameters for Energy-Efficient Ethernet.

IEEE Std 802.11™, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications.

IEEE Std 802.11n™, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications—Amendment 5: Enhancements for Higher Throughput.

IEEE Std 802.11v™, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications—Amendment 8: IEEE 802.11 Wireless Network Management.

IEEE P802.11aa™/D5.0, IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications—Amendment 2: MAC Enhancements for Robust Audio Video Streaming.[3]

ITU-T G.9960 (06/2010) Unified high-speed wireline based home networking transceivers—Revision 1.[4]

[1]IEEE publications are available from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA (http://standards.ieee.org/).

[2]The IEEE standards or products referred to in this clause are trademarks of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

[3]This IEEE standards project was not approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board at the time this publication went to press. For information about obtaining a draft, contact the IEEE.

[4]ITU-T publications are available from the International Telecommunications Union, Place des Nations, CH-1211, Geneva 20, Switzerland/Suisse (http://www.itu.int/).

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

ITU-T G.9961 (06/2010) Data link layer (DLL) for unified high-speed wire-line based home networking transceivers.

MoCA MAC/PHY SPECIFICATION v2.0, (MoCA-M/P-SPEC-V2.0-20100507) Multimedia over Coax Alliance (www.mocalliance.org).

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

3. Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. *The IEEE Standards Dictionary: Glossary of Terms & Definitions* should be consulted for terms not defined in this clause.[5]

AV Bridge: relay device (e.g., an IEEE 802.1Q Bridge or an IEEE 802.11 access point) that conforms to the requirements stated in the AVB profile for a Bridge as specified in this standard.

AVB domain: The intersection of an SRP domain and a gPTP domain.

NOTE—The term "SRP domain" is defined in IEEE Std 802.1Q. The term "gPTP domain" is defined in IEEE Std 802.1AS.[6]

AVB network: A contiguous set of Bridges and end stations that meet the conformance requirements of this standard.

AVB stream: A data stream associated with a stream reservation established using the Stream Reservation Protocol (SRP).

AVB system: A system (a piece of equipment that implements Bridge and/or end station functionality) that meets the conformance requirements for an AVB profile.

AVB profile: A set of feature and option selections that specifies aspects of Bridge and end station operation, and states the conformance requirements for support of AVB functionality for a specific class of user applications.

end station, station: These terms are defined in IEEE Std 802.

MRP participant: The MRP state machine that participates in MRP protocol, as defined in IEEE Std 802.1Q, Clause 10. There are four variants as follows:

a) Full Participant
b) Full Participant, point-to-point subset
c) Applicant-only Participant
d) Simple-Applicant Participant

Listener: An end station that is the destination, receiver, or consumer of a stream.

Talker: An end station that is the source, transmitter, or producer of a stream.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

[5] *The IEEE Standards Dictionary: Glossary of Terms & Definitions* is available at http://shop.ieee.org/.

[6] Notes in text, tables, and figures are given for information only and do not contain requirements needed to implement the standard.

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

4. Acronyms and abbreviations

AV	audio/video
AVB	audio/video bridging
C-VLAN	Customer VLAN (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
CSN	coordinated shared network
EISS	Extended Internal Sublayer Service (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
IPG	inter packet gap
FID	Filtering Identifier (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
FQTSS	Forwarding and Queuing Enhancements for Time Sensitive Streams (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
G.hn	ITU-T G.9960 and ITU-T G.9961
gPTP	generalized precision time protocol
LAN	Local Area Network (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
MTIE	Maximum Time Interval Error
PCS	Profile Conformance Statement
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PVID	Port VLAN identifier (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
SFD	start-of-frame delimiter
SR	stream reservation (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
SR_PVID	Stream Reservation Port VLAN Identifier (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
SRP	Stream Reservation Protocol (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
MAC	Media Access Control
MMRP	Multiple MAC Registration Protocol (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
MSRP	Multiple Stream Registration Protocol (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
MVRP	Multiple VLAN Registration Protocol (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
TSpec	traffic specification (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
VID	VLAN identifier (IEEE Std 802.1Q)
VLAN	Virtual LAN (IEEE Std 802.1Q)

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

5. Architecture of AVB networks

This standard is concerned with the requirements for layer 2 support in bridged networks that support AV traffic. In that context, an AVB network is considered to consist of the following:

a) End stations that act as Talkers
b) End stations that act as Listeners
c) End stations that act as both Talkers and Listeners
d) MAC Bridges that support the bridging requirements of the AVB network
e) Individual LANs that interconnect the Bridges, Talkers, and Listeners

NOTE—A non-exhaustive list of LAN technologies can be found in Table 6-1. For the purposes of this standard, LANs are taken to include IEEE 802 LANs and any other LAN technologies that are able to provide the internal sublayer service (ISS) defined in Clause 6 of IEEE Std 802.1Q.

Figure 5-1 gives an example of how these components can be combined in an AVB network.



Figure 5-1—An AVB network

Practical installations of AVB equipment frequently include non-AVB components (Bridges and end stations) that are connected to the AVB network, but do not themselves participate in the transmission, relay, or receipt of AV traffic.

Figure 5-2 illustrates the effect of the establishment of AVB domains (6.4) on the ability of AVB systems to communicate streams. End stations 1 and 2 are within AVB domain 1, and can therefore communicate stream traffic between them, via the AV Bridge that connects them. Similarly, end stations 3, 4, and 5 are all within AVB domain 2, and can therefore communicate stream traffic among them. However, because end stations 1 and 3 are connected via a region of the network that is not AVB-capable, they are in distinct AVB

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

domains, and therefore cannot establish AVB stream communication between them, but all non-AVB communications can and will continue between these end stations. End station 6 is the only device within AVB domain 3, and therefore cannot establish AVB stream communication with any other AVB end station. AVB end station 7 and AVB end station 8 are connected only to each other and form AVB domain 4, which is isolated; stations 7 and 8 can only communicate with each other.

NOTE—Domain boundaries are detected; they are not created as a consequence of a registration process. A consequence of the detection mechanism is that a given end station can only be a member of a single domain.

The non-AV Bridges shown in Figure 5-2 could either be conformant IEEE 802.1D or IEEE 802.1Q Bridges that do not meet the minimum requirements of the AVB profile in use in the network, or they could be non-conformant bridges that forward frames addressed to the reserved addresses in Table 8-1, Table 8-2, and Table 8-3 of IEEE Std 802.1Q. In the former case, the detection of the domain boundary is the result of the operation of MSRP; in the latter case, the boundary detection is achieved by the determination of "asCapable" by the generalized precision time protocol (gPTP) defined in IEEE Std 802.1AS (see 10.2.4.1 of IEEE Std 802.1AS).



Figure 5-2—AVB domain boundaries created by non-AVB systems

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

AVB domain boundaries can also be created in a network if the priority to SR class associations are not consistent across the network. For example, if some Bridges use the default values shown in Table 6-6 of IEEE Std 802.1Q, where priority 3 is associated with SR Class A traffic and priority 2 is associated with SR class B traffic, and others associate, say, priority 4 with SR class A traffic and priority 2 with SR class B traffic, then, because AVB domains are created per SR class, all of the devices will be in the same AVB domain for SR class B traffic, but adjacent devices that use different priorities for SR Class A traffic will be in different AVB domains for SR class A traffic. This is illustrated in Figure 5-3, where the Bridges and end stations in AVB domains 2 and 3 use priority 3 with SR class A, whereas AVB domain 4 uses priority 4 and AVB domain 1 uses priority 5. AVB stream communication using SR class A cannot take place between end stations in different AVB domains, regardless of the fact that all of the devices in the network are AVB-capable (but non-AVB stream communication can take place). Even though AVB domain 2 and 3 both use priority 3 for SR class A traffic, AVB streams cannot flow between the two domains because the AV Bridge in AVB domain 1 does not use priority 3 for SR class A traffic and is therefore an AVB domain boundary between AVB domains 2 and 3.



Figure 5-3—AVB domain boundaries created by different SR class A priorities

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

AVB domain boundaries can be different for different SR classes, because they are created as a consequence of the priority values associated with each SR class in each AVB system. This is illustrated in Figure 5-4, where the AVB domain boundaries for SR class B are different from those shown in Figure 5-3 for SR class A, despite the underlying network connectivity being identical in both figures. Hence, end stations 2 and 7 (for example) can communicate using AVB stream traffic on SR class B, whereas they are not able to do so using SR class A.



Figure 5-4—AVB domain boundaries created by different SR class B priorities

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

6. AVB functions

This clause specifies additional functions that are important to the operation of an AVB system, but that are not specified in the base standards that are used in constructing AVB systems.

6.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet

Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE, specified in IEEE Std 802.3az) specifies a Low Power Idle (LPI) mode of operation for Ethernet LANs that allows the LAN to transition to a low power state when there is no activity. Control of the LPI state is performed by the LPI client, which determines, on the transmission side, when LPI is asserted and when it is de-asserted. When LPI is de-asserted, there is a delay (wake time) before the link is ready to operate; the longer the wake time, the longer the additional latency due to the operation of EEE.

In order for EEE to be deployed in a manner that is compatible with AVB operation, the following specifications apply to all Bridges and end stations that participate in a single AVB network.

AVB systems that support EEE and that have an active reservation on a given Port (i.e., the Port supports the credit-based shaper algorithm, as defined in IEEE Std 802.1Q, as the transmission selection algorithm for one or more traffic classes, and the idleSlope parameter associated with at least one traffic class is non-zero) shall support one or both of the following modes of operation:

a) Never assert LPI on the Port.
b) Support EEE as follows:
    1) Assert LPI on the Port when the transmission selection function for the Port (8.6.8 of IEEE Std 802.1Q) determines that there are no frames available for transmission.
    2) De-assert LPI on the Port when the transmission selection algorithm for the Port determines that there is at least one frame ready to transmit.
    3) Execute the transmission selection algorithm on the Port again when the PHY for the Port has come out of the LPI state and is ready to transmit.
    4) Use a wake time that does not exceed the greater of 30 microseconds or the transmission time for a maximum-sized Ethernet frame on the PHY technology supported by the Port.

NOTE—Requirement b) 3) ensures that, when the transmitter becomes available for transmission, it is the highest priority frame that is selected for transmission, and not a lower priority frame that became available earlier and triggered the transition out of the LPI state.

6.2 Flow control

The operation of flow control protocols, for example IEEE 802.3 MAC control PAUSE, or IEEE 802.1Qbb Priority-based flow control operating on the priorities that are used to support SR classes, can invalidate any latency guarantees that result from AVB operation. A conformant AVB system that supports flow control methods shall

a) Disable the operation of MAC control PAUSE on any Ports that support AV streams, and
b) Force the SRPdomainBoundaryPort parameter (6.4) to TRUE for any given Port and SR class if IEEE 802.1Qbb Priority-based flow control is enabled on that Port for the priority associated with that SR class.

NOTE—Should any SRP exchanges attempt to cross an SRP domain boundary, the SRP entity transmits the failure code “Egress Port is not AVB capable” to signal that a stream cannot be established on that path.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Given the Bridge architectural model for points of attachment for higher layer entities, as illustrated in Figure 8-11 of IEEE Std 802.1Q, any higher layer entities within a Bridge are not subject to this restriction on the use of flow control protocols. However, where the implementation makes use of the same MAC interface to support relayed frames and also higher layer protocol operation, and where the implementation supports other MAC control protocols that are not subject to relay by the Bridge, all transmitted frames that are not relayed by the Bridge shall be subject to the same transmission selection algorithms as relayed frames, in order to ensure that stream traffic latency is not adversely affected.

6.3 Frame sizes

The performance characteristics of an AVB network are sensitive to the data frame sizes that are used in the network, both for the stream data and for any non-AV data frames that are carried on the network. A conformant AVB system shall therefore adhere to the maximum frame size rules that apply to IEEE 802.3 frames, regardless of the medium type that is in use, on Ports that support AV traffic. This means that, on Ports that support AV traffic, the maximum data payload carried in a frame is 1500 octets, and the maximum frame size including headers, tags, etc., is 2000 octets. For the purposes of latency calculations (6.5), SRP can take into account the maximum frame size that is present on a given Port. For example, for Ethernet, if nothing but the basic IEEE 802.3 headers are being used with an IEEE 802.1Q C-VLAN tag, then the maximum frame size on this interface is 1522 octets.

Different media will have different maximum frame sizes. If a device is configured to support MAC PDU sizes larger than 2000 octets on one or more Ports, then those Ports shall be considered not to be AVB capable from the point of view of the operation of SRP.

6.4 Detection of AVB domains

IEEE Std 802.1Q defines an SRP domain as a connected set of devices and LANs that support SRP with the same priority per SR class, and IEEE Std 802.1AS defines a generalized precision time protocol (gPTP) domain as a connected set of devices that support gPTP. SRP domains are determined by the operation of the MSRP protocol defined in Clause 35 of IEEE Std 802.1Q; gPTP domains are determined by the operation of gPTP. As the availability of both SRP and gPTP is considered to be a requirement for the support of AVB functionality in the profiles described in this standard, an *AVB domain*, that is, a connected set of devices and LANs within which AVB operation is supported, is the intersection of an SRP domain and a gPTP domain.

The operation of MSRP allows a Port to make a declaration on its attached LAN of the SR classes that it supports, and for each supported SR class, the priority code point value that it associates with that SR class. By comparing its own supported SR classes and priority values with those received from a neighboring device attached to the Port, a determination can be made as to whether the Port is at the boundary of the SRP domain for a given SR class or not. That information is then used to set the value of the SRPdomainBoundaryPort parameter (6.6.4 of IEEE Std 802.1Q) for that SR class. If the Port is an SRP domain boundary port for a given SR class, then for that SR class, the operation of the MAP function in SRP is such that any *Talker Advertise* declarations for that SR class that would otherwise be propagated through that Port are converted to *Talker Failed* declarations with a failure code (IEEE Std 802.1Q Table 35-6) of 8, meaning “Egress port is not AVB-capable”. The consequence of this behavior is that stream reservations can only be established in circumstances where the Talker and the Listener(s) for the stream are located within the same SRP domain.

gPTP detects the existence of LANs or devices that cannot support time synchronization; for example, LANs that cause excessively large transmission delay variation caused by the presence of non-standard devices (and detected by the measurement of a transmission delay that exceeds the value of neighborPropDelayThresh for the Port, as defined in IEEE Std 802.1AS), or LANs that do not support the time-stamping mechanisms required by gPTP. A port that has been determined not to be capable of supporting IEEE 802.1AS is labelled as such by gPTP setting the *asCapable* variable FALSE for that port.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Some LAN technologies, and in particular, shared medium technologies that are not able to coordinate their use of the shared medium for stream traffic, are unable to support AVB. Table 6-1 lists the technologies that were either known to be capable of supporting AVB, or known not to be capable of supporting AVB, at the time of publication of this standard; however, it is not an exhaustive list.

Table 6-1—AVB support in LAN technologies

LAN technology	AVB support
IEEE 802.3, full duplex and ≥ 100 Mb/s	Yes
IEEE 802.3, half duplex or < 100 Mb/s	No
IEEE 802.3, EPON	Yes
IEEE 802.11n (or faster), with support for the IEEE 802.11v timing measurement function, the IEEE 802.11 ADDTS QoS mechanism, and the IEEE P802.11aa Reliable Multicast.	Yes
MoCA v2.0 with AVB extensions	Yes
ITU-T G.9960, ITU-T G.9961 with AVB extensions	Yes

NOTE—The measurement point for data rates is assumed to be at the MAC service boundary.

This standard extends the SRP domain detection behavior specified in MSRP, in order to take account of the capability of the attached LAN and the information provided by gPTP, as follows:

a) If the Port does not support gPTP, or if the Port supports the operation of gPTP, and gPTP has determined that the value of *asCapable* is FALSE, or if the LAN attached to the Port is not capable of supporting AVB, then:
   1) The value of the SRPdomainBoundaryPort parameters for all SR classes supported by the Port shall be TRUE; and
   2) The operation of the MAP function in SRP shall be such that any *Talker Advertise* declarations for that SR class that would otherwise be propagated through that Port are converted to *Talker Failed* declarations, with a failure code of 8 (“Egress port is not AVB-capable”).

b) If the Port supports gPTP and the value of *asCapable* for the Port is TRUE, the value of the SRPdomainBoundaryPort parameters for all SR classes supported by the Port are determined as described in Clause 35 of IEEE Std 802.1Q.

To support conformance with the profiles defined in this standard, Bridges and end stations shall support IEEE 802.1AS.

6.5 Meeting latency targets for SR classes A and B

One of the objectives behind the profiles specified in this standard is to allow the construction of AVB networks that meet a common performance metric in terms of the worst-case end-to-end latency that a stream will experience in transmission between a Talker and a Listener. For SR classes A and B, target values for the maximum worst-case latency from Talker to Listener have been set as shown in Table 6-2.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

Table 6-2—Latency targets for SR classes A and B

SR class	Max end-to-end latency
A	2 ms
B	50 ms

NOTE 1—The choice of latency targets shown in Table 6-2 reflects the requirements of some typical deployment scenarios, and should not be taken as hard-and-fast limits on the end-to-end latency in an AV network. They do, however, form a useful basis for achieving "plug-and-play" interoperability.

NOTE 2—The 2 ms figure for SR Class A can be met for 7 hops of 100 Mb/s Ethernet if the maximum frame size on the LAN is 1522 octets.

For some media, for example IEEE 802.3 full-duplex media, the latency contribution of the media access technology itself is small, so the dominant factor in the latency contribution of a Bridge is delays experienced within the Bridge relay, as a result of maximum size interfering frames, fan-in, network topology, and queueing delays (see L.3 in IEEE Std 802.1Q). The queueing delays include the frame propagation time through the Bridge, which can have both fixed and variable components, along with the effects of the credit-based shaper defined in IEEE Std 802.1Q (see 8.6.8.2, Clause 34, and Annex L). For other media, such as the current IEEE 802.11 wireless media, the latency contribution caused by the media access technology itself is the dominant factor; for example, it is unlikely that a latency contribution of less than 20 ms can be achieved in a hop based on IEEE Std 802.11. One of the consequences is that where the latency contribution of a particular LAN technology is large, its use might have to be restricted in order for the overall system performance to meet the latency targets; for example, it is clear from this discussion that, because current IEEE 802.11 wireless technologies will contribute at least 20 ms to the end-to-end latency, they cannot be employed in a Bridged LAN that is intended to support SR class A with a maximum end-to-end latency of 2 ms, and at most two IEEE 802.11 wireless hops can be employed in a Bridged LAN that is intended to support SR class B with a maximum end-to-end latency of 50 ms. Two wireless hops are supported for the case where a stream is transmitted from a Talker to a Listener via an IEEE 802.11 access point. In general, for a given SR class, the latency target for the SR class is met if the sum of the latency contributions made by each hop does not exceed the latency target for the class. For example, if the path between Talker and Listener consisted of 6 hops that contributed 5 ms each and 10 hops that contributed 2 ms each, then the latency target for SR Class B would be met, because the sum of the latency contributions is 50 ms, and therefore does not exceed 50 ms.

A consequence of the choice of observation intervals for SR classes A and B (125 µs and 250 µs respectively —see 34.6.1 of IEEE Std 802.1Q) is that it is impractical to support AVB streaming over data rates of less than 100 Mb/s, both because of the limit that would be placed on the frame sizes that could be used, and the impact on the end-to-end latency that would result from maximum-sized frames interfering with AV streams.

It follows that, for "plug-and-play" operation of a network supporting SR class A with a maximum end-to-end latency of 2 ms, it is necessary to use IEEE 802.3 media at data rates of 100 Mb/s or greater (or other LAN technologies that can equal the performance achievable by IEEE 802.3 media running at 100 Mb/s or greater). For "plug-and-play" support of SR class B with a maximum end-to-end latency of 50 ms, there can be at most two IEEE 802.11n (or faster) hops, each of which can contribute no more than 20 ms to the latency, and the remaining hops, contributing at most a further 10 ms to the maximum latency, based on other technologies such as IEEE 802.3.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

As discussed in L.3 of IEEE Std 802.1Q, there are a number of factors that increase the latency contribution of a Bridge. AVB Talkers and Bridges shall report each hop's worst-case latency via SRP. The following equation gives an example of how worst-case latency can be calculated for Class A streams:

$$\text{Max Latency} = t_{Device} + t_{MaxPacketSize+IPG} + (t_{AllStreams} - t_{StreamPacket+IPG}) \times \text{Rate/MaxAllocBand} + t_{StreamPacket}$$

where

t_{Device} = the internal delay of the device (in increments of 512 bit times)

NOTE 3—t_{Device} is an integral multiple of 512 bit times so that it scales with the speed of the media.

$t_{MaxPacketSize+IPG}$ = the transmission time for a maximum size interfering frame (1522 octets to 2000 octets) plus its preamble and start of frame delimiter (SFD) (8 octets), and the following inter-packet gap (IPG) (12 octets)

$t_{StreamPacket}$ = the transmission time for the maximum frame size of the stream that is being reserved, plus its preamble and SFD (8 octets)

$t_{StreamPacket+IPG}$ = the transmission time for the maximum frame size of the stream that is being reserved, plus its preamble and SFD (8 octets) and the following IPG (12 octets)

Rate = the transmission rate of the port

MaxAllocBand = maximum allocatable bandwidth, the maximum amount of bandwidth the AVB system is able to allocate for Class A streams on the port

$t_{Interval}$ = the Class A observation interval or 125 µs

$t_{AllStreams}$ = (MaxAllocBand × $t_{Interval}$) / tRate = the sum of the transmission times of all Class A stream frames the AVB System is able to allocate in an observation interval (125 µs) on a port

NOTE 4—Talkers that are not aware of their maximum allocatable bandwidth and Bridges should use maximum values for their maximum allocatable bandwidth (75% of the port transmission rate) and for their $t_{AllStream}$ (93.75 µs in the case of Class A).

NOTE 5—The logic behind the above formulation for Max Latency can be found in the paper "AVB Latency Math" [B1]. Further discussion of latency issues can be found in the paper "Class A Latency Issues" [B2]. Only SR class A example calculations are shown; SR class B examples can be similarly derived.

For example, a Talker (which is able to allocate 75% of the bandwidth) or Bridge with 100 Mb/s IEEE 802.3 Ports using standard IEEE 802.3 framing (i.e., the maximum frame size is 1522 octets), reserving a stream with a maximum frame size of 64 octets, where the device's internal delay is no more than 512 bit times, would report the following Max Latency:

$$\text{Max Latency} = 5.12\ \mu s + 123.36\ \mu s + ((75\ \text{Mb/s} \times 125\ \mu s) / 100\ \text{Mb/s} - 6.72\ \mu s) \times (100\ \text{Mb/s} / 75\ \text{Mb/s}) + 5.76\ \mu s$$

$$= 250.28\ \mu s$$

In comparison, a Talker that is able to allocate only 16% of the bandwidth with 100 Mb/s IEEE 802.3 Ports using standard IEEE 802.3 framing (i.e., the maximum frame size is 1522 octets) reserving a stream with a maximum frame size of 230 octets (i.e., the Talker can only transmit one stream, as 16% of the bandwidth equals one Class A stream with a frame size of 230 octets) where the device's internal delay is no more than 512 bit times would report the following Max Latency:

$$\text{Max Latency} = 5.12\ \mu s + 123.36\ \mu s + ((16\ \text{Mb/s} \times 125\ \mu s) / 100\ \text{Mb/s} - 20\ \mu s) \times (100\ \text{Mb/s} / 16\ \text{Mb/s}) + 19.04\ \mu s$$

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

= 147.52 µs

A Talker (which is able to allocate 75% of the bandwidth) or Bridge with 1000 Mb/s IEEE 802.3 Ports using standard IEEE 802.3 framing (i.e., the maximum frame size is 1522 octets) reserving a stream with a maximum frame size of 64 octets where the device's internal delay is no more than 512 bit times would report the following Max Latency:

Max Latency = 0.512 µs + 12.336 µs + ((750 Mb/s × 125 µs) / 1000 Mb/s – 0.672µs) × (1000 Mb/s / 750 Mb/s) + 0.576 µs

= 137.528 µs

In comparison, a Talker that is able to allocate only 1.6% of the bandwidth with 1000 Mb/s IEEE 802.3 Ports using standard IEEE 802.3 framing (i.e., the maximum frame size is 1522 octets) reserving a stream with a maximum frame size of 230 octets (i.e., the Talker can only transmit one stream, as 1.6% of the bandwidth equals one Class A stream with a frame size of 230 octets) where the device's internal delay is no more than 512 bit times would report the following Max Latency:

Max Latency = 0.512 µs + 12.336 µs + ((16 Mb/s × 125 µs) / 1000 Mb/s – 2.0 µs) × (1000 Mb/s / 16 Mb/s) + 1.904 µs

= 14.752 µs

Other media types are not discussed here as IEEE 802.3 is currently the only media type that can support Class A's end-to-end latency targets.

NOTE 6—The internal delay of a device is device specific and needs to be reported in the device's documentation.

To summarize, the following rules of thumb apply to the technologies that can be used to support SR classes A and B, based on the latency targets in Table 6-2:

a) SR Class A is supportable in Bridges only by full duplex IEEE 802.3 media running at 100 Mb/s or higher rates, or by other LAN technologies that can equal the performance achievable by IEEE 802.3 media running at 100 Mb/s or higher rates, and where the sum of the worst-case latency contributions per hop results in an overall end-to-end worst-case latency of 2 ms or less.
b) SR Class A traffic cannot be supported over IEEE 802.11, MoCA, and G.hn media, because these technologies cannot offer a latency contribution lower than 2 ms.
c) SR Class B is supportable in Bridges by any combination of the following:
    1) Full duplex IEEE 802.3 media running at 100 Mb/s or higher rates
    2) Other LAN technologies that can equal the performance achievable by IEEE 802.3 media running at 100 Mb/s or higher rates
    3) IEEE 802.11n (or faster) media
    4) MoCA
    5) ITU-T G.9960 and ITU-T G.9961 (G.hn)
    6) Other LAN technologies that support data rates of 100 Mb/s or more, as long as the sum of the worst-case latency contributions per hop results in an overall end-to-end worst-case latency of 50 ms or less
d) Only media that support data rates of 100 Mb/s or more can be supported within an AVB domain.

6.6 Variable data rate LANs

In some LAN technologies, such as IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs, the available bandwidth can and does change dynamically as a result of changes in the transmission properties of the wireless medium,

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

interference from other devices, and so on. Any change in the bandwidth available on a Bridge Port causes MSRP to recalculate which streams can be accommodated within the new Port bandwidth, on the basis of the bandwidth availability parameter values for the supported SR classes (see 34.3 in IEEE Std 802.1Q). Consequently, a reduction in the available bandwidth could result in some streams being dropped in accordance with the procedures specified in SRP; these streams could then be re-established following a later increase in the available bandwidth.

If the frequency of bandwidth changes is high, the impact on the user experience caused by streams being dropped and then re-established could prove to be undesirable or unacceptable. In order to mitigate the effect of such fluctuations, for Ports that are supported by variable data rate LANs it is recommended that maxRes, the total reservable bandwidth of the Port in bits/s, should be dynamically managed in a manner that maximizes the number of reservations that can be accommodated while reducing the probability of reservations being dropped and re-established unnecessarily. An example of how that might be achieved follows:

a) The initial value of *maxRes* when the Port becomes operable is set to 50% of the current data rate of the attached LAN.
b) If at any time the value of *maxRes* is greater than 75% of the current data rate of the attached LAN, then *maxRes* is set to 50% of the current data rate, and MSRP re-calculates which streams can be accommodated within the reduced bandwidth.
c) If at any time the value of *maxRes* is less than 40% of the current data rate of the attached LAN, then *maxRes* is set to 50% of the current data rate, and MSRP re-calculates which streams can be accommodated within the increased bandwidth.
d) If at any time the attached LAN reaches its maximum data rate, reset *maxRes* to 50% of that maximum data rate.

6.7 Basic support for streams

There are many different applications to which AVB equipment will be put, and the requirements for those applications vary greatly. The most basic requirement in order for a device to be able to claim to support AVB is that it is capable of supporting a single stream (in the case of Talkers and Listeners) or a single stream per Port (in the case of Bridges). The profile tables in 7.4.6.2, 7.4.7.2, and 7.4.8.2 therefore identify support of a single stream as a minimum requirement. The detailed requirements for such support are identified in 6.7.1 through 6.7.3.

6.7.1 Basic support for streams in Bridges

The requirements for a Bridge implementation to claim basic support for streams, for those Ports of the Bridge that support AVB, are as follows:

a) All Ports shall be capable of supporting at least one stream reservation, associated with a traffic class that supports FQTSS.
b) All Ports shall be capable of supporting the registration, declaration, and propagation of the MSRP attributes associated with a single stream; i.e., a single Talker declaration and the resulting Listener declaration(s) (see 3.5.1.2 and 3.5.1.3 of IEEE Std 802.1Q).
c) All Ports shall be capable of supporting gPTP.
d) All Bridges shall support SR class B.
e) Bridges that support two or more IEEE 802.3 Ports shall support SR class A on all IEEE 802.3 Ports.
f) Bridges shall not support Talker pruning.

NOTE—The existing conformance requirements in IEEE Std 802.1Q mean that support of MVRP is mandatory—see 6.8 and IEEE Std 802.1Q 5.4.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

6.7.2 Basic support for streams in Talkers

The requirements for a Talker implementation to claim basic support for streams are as follows:

a) The implementation shall be capable of transmitting at least one stream.
b) The implementation shall be capable of declaring the MSRP attributes associated with a single stream; i.e., a single Talker declaration, and registering the MSRP attributes associated with the Listener declaration(s) that result from that Talker declaration (see 3.5.1.2 and 3.5.1.3 of IEEE Std 802.1Q).
c) The Port shall be capable of supporting gPTP.
d) The port shall be capable of operating as an IEEE 802.1AS grandmaster.

NOTE—It is possible to build a system that supports talker capability on multiple Ports. From the point of view of this specification, such systems are logically multiple Talkers, each with a single Port, housed in the same system.

6.7.3 Basic support for streams in Listeners

The requirements for a Listener implementation to claim basic support for streams are as follows:

a) The implementation shall be capable of receiving at least one stream.
b) The implementation shall be capable of registering the MSRP attributes associated with a single stream; i.e., a single Talker declaration, and declaring the MSRP attributes associated with the Listener declaration that results from that Talker declaration (see 3.5.1.2 and 3.5.1.3 of IEEE Std 802.1Q).
c) The Port shall be capable of supporting gPTP.
d) The Port shall be capable of supporting MVRP and declare a VLAN membership request for the VID contained in the Talker Advertise [see 11.2.2 and 35.2.2.8.3 b) of IEEE Std 802.1Q].
e) The port shall not support Talker pruning.

NOTE—It is possible to build a system that supports Listener capability on multiple Ports. From the point of view of this specification, such systems are logically multiple Listeners, each with a single Port, housed in the same system.

6.8 Minimum Bridge requirements

There is a minimum set of optional features specified in IEEE Std 802.1Q that are required in order for a Bridge to properly support AVB operation. Further detailed requirements are specified in 7.4.6; however, the following paragraphs give an overview of the required features (references in parentheses in the remainder of this subclause are to IEEE Std 802.1Q unless stated otherwise).

The starting point is that an AV Bridge is a VLAN Bridge (5.9), which is defined to be a single C-VLAN component, which is in turn defined to be a VLAN-aware Bridge component that supports the EISS by the use of Customer VLAN Tags (5.5). Therefore, a conformant AV Bridge supports all of the VLAN-aware Bridge component requirements stated in 5.4 a) through l).

The most basic requirements for a VLAN-aware Bridge component imply support of Rapid Spanning Tree (the original Spanning Tree Protocol has been deprecated and removed from IEEE Std 802.1Q), support of at least one VID, and support of tagged frames and untagged frames. For AVB support, at least two VIDs are required; the default PVID (which is untagged, by default, to carry non-AV traffic), and the default SR_PVID (tagged, to carry stream traffic). An AV Bridge implementation shall meet the following requirements in addition to those for VLAN-aware Bridges (5.4):

a) Support of at least the Acceptable Frame Types parameter value of *Admit All frames* on each Port [see 5.4 f)].

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

b) Support of the use of at least two VIDs, one of which is the default PVID (Table 9-2), configured to be untagged on all Ports, and the other is the default SR_PVID (Table 9-2, 35.2.1.4), configured to be tagged on all Ports.

NOTE 1—A PVID that has been configured to be untagged implies the existence of at least one Static VLAN Registration Entry in the filtering database that specifies the PVID to be forwarded untagged on all Ports. An SR_PVID that has been configured to be tagged on all Ports implies that either there is no Static VLAN Registration Entry for the SR_PVID, or that a Static VLAN Registration Entry exists for the SR_PVID and specifies that the SR_PVID is forwarded tagged on all Ports. If a Static VLAN Registration Entry exists for the SR_PVID, then some filtering efficiency can be lost, as any non-stream traffic that uses that VID can potentially be transmitted on all outbound Ports, not just those where Listeners have registered for that VLAN via MVRP. Hence, it is desirable for there to be no Static VLAN Registration Entry in the filtering database for the SR_PVID, and to rely on MVRP to register for VIDs dynamically as required by the currently active streams.

c) If only a single FID is supported [5.4 k)], then support the ability to allocate both the PVID and the SR_PVID to that single FID [i.e., the implementation supports shared VLAN Learning (8.8.8)].

Support of the following VLAN-aware Bridge component options (5.4.1) is required in an AV Bridge implementation:

d) Support of forwarding and queuing for time sensitive streams (5.4.1).
e) Support of Multiple Stream Reservation Protocol (MSRP).

NOTE 2—MVRP is mandatory for a VLAN Bridge, so it is not mentioned here as it is already covered under [5.4 i)]. Similarly, support of MMRP is not a requirement for AVB.

6.9 IEEE 802.1AS time-synchronization event message transmission interval

IEEE Std 802.1AS makes provision for adjustment of the interval between transmissions of time synchronization event messages by a Master port, at the request of a Slave port (see 10.6.2.3 of IEEE Std 802.1AS). In order to support low power/low cost devices that are limited in their ability to process frequent time synchronization event messages, all ports that are capable of operating as Master ports, and that conform to the profiles defined in this standard, shall support a minimum range of 1/8s through 1s for time-synchronization event message transmission interval.

6.10 Effect of hop count on IEEE 802.1AS accuracy

The use of IEEE 802.1AS time synchronization results in any pair of end stations, separated by no more than 7 hops, being synchronized to within 1 µs. Worst-case time synchronization accuracy degrades linearly beyond 7 hops. A number of jitter and wander accumulation simulations were performed, with the results expressed in terms of Maximum Time Interval Error (MTIE). For the cases simulated (see Garner [B4], [B5], and [B6]) the increase in MTIE on each successive hop after the first hop is less than the MTIE increase over the first hop.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

7. AVB profiles[7]

This clause defines a set of AVB profiles that specify the requirements that are to be met by implementations of Bridges, Talker end stations, and Listener end stations for which claims of conformance are made. The profiles are presented in a tabular format based on the format used for PICS proformas.

For each type of implementation (Bridge, Talker, and Listener), a set of common features are identified in the tables in 7.4. There is also a table in 7.4 that identifies common requirements for MAC support. The tables identify functionality defined in other standards, and focus on the optional features defined in those standards in order to make particular choices that are appropriate for the profile concerned. Hence, a feature that is optional in one of the referenced standards might become mandatory in one or more of the profiles defined in this standard. Similarly, where a parameter in a referenced standard can take a range of values, that range can be further defined or restricted in order to meet the requirements of a particular profile.

The tables do not contain an exhaustive list of all requirements that are stated in the referenced standards; for example, if a row in a table asks whether the implementation is conformant to Standard X, and the answer "Yes" is chosen, then it is assumed that it is possible, for that implementation, to fill out the PCS proforma defined in Standard X to show that the implementation is conformant; however, the tables in this standard will only further refine those elements of conformance to Standard X where particular answers are required for the profiles defined here.

The profiles are not intended to be mutually exclusive; it is possible that a given implementation can support more than one of the profiles defined in this standard. If that is the case, then either the PCS for the implementation should be filled out in order to reflect the support of multiple profiles, or a separate PCS should be filled out to reflect each profile supported.

7.1 Introduction to PCS proformas

The supplier of an implementation that is claimed to conform to a particular profile defined in this standard shall complete the corresponding Profile Conformance Statement (PCS) proforma(s).

A completed PCS proforma is the PCS for the implementation in question. The PCS is a statement of which capabilities and options of the protocol have been implemented. The PCS can have a number of uses, including the following:

a) By the protocol implementer, as a checklist to reduce the risk of failure to conform to the standard through oversight;

b) By the supplier and acquirer—or potential acquirer—of the implementation, as a detailed indication of the capabilities of the implementation, stated relative to the common basis for understanding provided by the standard PCS proforma;

c) By the user—or potential user—of the implementation, as a basis for initially checking the possibility of interworking with another implementation (note that, while interworking can never be guaranteed, failure to interwork can often be predicted from incompatible PCSs);

d) By a protocol tester, as the basis for selecting appropriate tests against which to assess the claim for conformance of the implementation.

[7] *Copyright release for AVB profiles:* Users of this standard may freely reproduce the AVB profiles and PCS proformas in this clause so that they can be used for the intended purpose and may further publish the completed profiles and PCS.

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

7.2 Abbreviations and special symbols

7.2.1 Status symbols

M	mandatory
O	optional
O.n	optional, but support of at least one of the group of options labeled by the same numeral n is required
X	prohibited
pred:	conditional-item symbol, including predicate identification: see 7.3.4
¬	logical negation, applied to a conditional item's predicate

7.2.1.1 General abbreviations

N/A	not applicable
PCS	Profile Conformance Statement

7.3 Instructions for completing the PCS proforma

7.3.1 General structure of the PCS proforma

The first part of the PCS proforma, implementation identification and protocol summary, is to be completed as indicated with the information necessary to identify fully both the supplier and the implementation.

The main part of the PCS proforma is a fixed-format questionnaire, divided into several subclauses, each containing a number of individual items. Answers to the questionnaire items are to be provided in the rightmost column, either by simply marking an answer to indicate a restricted choice (usually Yes or No) or by entering a value or a set or range of values. (Note that there are some items where two or more choices from a set of possible answers can apply; all relevant choices are to be marked.)

Each item is identified by an item reference in the first column. The second column contains the question to be answered; the third column records the status of the item—whether support is mandatory, optional, or conditional: see also 7.3.4. The fourth column contains the reference or references to the material that specifies the item in the main body of the relevant standard, and the fifth column provides the space for the answers.

A supplier may also provide (or be required to provide) further information, categorized as either Additional Information or Exception Information. When present, each kind of further information is to be provided in a further subclause of items labeled *Ai* or *Xi*, respectively, for cross-referencing purposes, where *i* is any unambiguous identification for the item (e.g., simply a numeral). There are no other restrictions on its format and presentation.

A completed PCS proforma, including any Additional Information and Exception Information, is the Profile Conformance Statement for the implementation in question.

NOTE—Where an implementation is capable of being configured in more than one way, a single PCS may be able to describe all such configurations. However, the supplier has the choice of providing more than one PCS, each covering some subset of the implementation's configuration capabilities, in case that makes for easier and clearer presentation of the information.

7.3.2 Additional information

Items of Additional Information allow a supplier to provide further information intended to assist the interpretation of the PCS. It is not intended or expected that a large quantity will be supplied, and a PCS can

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

be considered complete without any such information. Examples might be an outline of the ways in which a (single) implementation can be set up to operate in a variety of environments and configurations, or information about aspects of the implementation that are outside the scope of this standard but that have a bearing on the answers to some items.

References to items of Additional Information may be entered next to any answer in the questionnaire and may be included in items of Exception Information.

7.3.3 Exception information

It might occasionally happen that a supplier will wish to answer an item with mandatory status (after any conditions have been applied) in a way that conflicts with the indicated requirement. No pre-printed answer will be found in the Support column for this item. Instead, the supplier shall write the missing answer into the Support column, together with an *Xi* reference to an item of Exception Information, and shall provide the appropriate rationale in the Exception item itself.

An implementation for which an Exception item is required in this way does not conform to this standard.

NOTE—A possible reason for the situation described previously is that a defect in this standard has been reported, a correction for which is expected to change the requirement that is not met by the implementation.

7.3.4 Conditional status

7.3.4.1 Conditional items

The PCS proforma contains a number of conditional items. These are items for which both the applicability of the item itself, and its status if it does apply—mandatory or optional—are dependent on whether certain other items are supported.

Where a group of items is subject to the same condition for applicability, a separate preliminary question about the condition appears at the head of the group, with an instruction to skip to a later point in the questionnaire if the "Not Applicable" answer is selected. Otherwise, individual conditional items are indicated by a conditional symbol in the Status column.

A conditional symbol is of the form "**pred:** S" where **pred** is a predicate as described in 7.3.4.2, and S is a status symbol, M or O.

If the value of the predicate is TRUE (see 7.3.4.2), the conditional item is applicable, and its status is indicated by the status symbol following the predicate and the answer column is to be marked in the usual way. If the value of the predicate is FALSE, the "Not Applicable" (N/A) answer is to be marked.

7.3.4.2 Predicates

A predicate is one of the following:

a) An item-reference for an item in the PCS proforma: The value of the predicate is TRUE if the item is marked as supported and is FALSE otherwise;
b) A predicate-name, for a predicate defined as a Boolean expression constructed by combining item-references using the Boolean operator OR: The value of the predicate is TRUE if one or more of the items is marked as supported;
c) A predicate-name, for a predicate defined as a Boolean expression constructed by combining item-references using the Boolean operator AND: The value of the predicate is TRUE if all of the items are marked as supported;

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

d) The logical negation symbol "¬" prefixed to an item-reference or predicate-name: The value of the predicate is TRUE if the value of the predicate formed by omitting the "¬" symbol is FALSE, and vice versa.

Each item whose reference is used in a predicate or predicate definition, or in a preliminary question for grouped conditional items, is indicated by an asterisk in the Item column.

7.3.5 References to other standards

The following shorthand notation is used in the References columns of the profile tables:

<standard abbreviation>:<clause-number>

where standard abbreviation is one of the following:

Q	IEEE Std 802.1Q
AS	IEEE Std 802.1AS
Dot3	IEEE Std 802.3
Dot11	IEEE Std 802.11
Dot11v	IEEE Std 802.11v
Dot11aa	IEEE P802.11aa
G.hn	ITU-T G.9960 and ITU-T G.9961
MoCA	MoCA MAC/PHY SPECIFICATION v2.0

Hence, a reference to "IEEE Std 802.1Q clause 5.4.2" would be abbreviated to "Q:5.4.2".

7.4 Common requirements

7.4.1 Implementation identification

Supplier	
Contact point for queries about the PCS	
Implementation Name(s) and Version(s)	
Other information necessary for full identification, e.g., name(s) and version(s) of machines and/or operating system names	

Only the first three items are required for all implementations; other information may be completed as appropriate in meeting the requirement for full identification.

NOTE—The terms "Name" and "Version" should be interpreted appropriately to correspond with a supplier's terminology (e.g., Type, Series, Model).

© IEEE 2011 – All rights reserved

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1BA:2016